SIGNED. 1 Dated: January 12, 2005 2 3 4 RANDOLPH J. HAINES U.S. Bankruptcy Judge 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 Chapter 11 In re 9 CASE NØ 2-03-03546-PHX-RJH DEXTER DISTRIBUTING 10 CORPORATION, et al., (Jointly Administered Cases Nos. 11 Debtor. 03-63548-PHX-RJH and 03-04695-PHX-RJH through 03-04/10-PHX-RJH) 12 THIS FILING APPLIES TO 13 DENYING DEBTORS' ALL DEBTORS MOZION TO AMEND FINDINGS 14 OF FACT AND JUDGMENT RE MORTGAÇES, LTD. CLAIMS 15 16 Debtors have moved for modification of this Court's Order that the two liens held by 17 Mortgages, Ltd. on 300 East Camelback be treated as fully secured. 18 principal argument is that Debtors did nothing to mislead the Court. This is 19 irrelevant. What matters is what the Court and Mortgages, Ltd. reasonably understood about the 2.0 stipulation for certain creditors to be treated as fully secured. In fact, the Debtors had a golden opportunity at the confirmation hearing to clarify for 22

21

2.4

2.3

25 26

2.7

2.8

yone the position for which they currently contend, that they were reserving the right for a future

hearing at which they could seek to establish a value of the property less than the amount of the debts

and thereby strip down the liens. Debtors' counsel specifically denied that option, in a colloquy on page 17 of the transcript of January 28, 2004:

> THE COURT: Let me rephrase the question. Mortgages Limited, I don't know what class number it is, but the Mortgages Limited claims, and let me amalgamate them, of apparently 990 and 281 on 300 East Camelback, is Mortgages Limited going to have a secured claim under this plan of 1.271, or is it going to have a secured claim of 820,000, or is it going to have a secured claim of some unknown

2.7

value of the store that has not yet been determined?

MR. STAPLETON: We've agreed that they're going to have a secured claim that's the principal value of their loans basically. I think at least for the purpose of the confirmation trial, we've agreed it's 1.271.

THE COURT: Well, for purposes of confirmation of this plan, Mortgages Limited, those two claims will be secured claims in the amount of 1.271.

MR. STAPLETON: That's correct, fully secured.

If the Debtors then sought to retain the right to a subsequent valuation hearing and potential strip down, the correct answer to the Court's question would have been the third alternative the Court suggested, that Mortgages, Ltd. was "going to have a secured claim of some unknown value of the store that had not yet been determined." Debtors' counsel did not adopt that alternative, but instead stated that both the first and second lien claims would be "fully secured."

Debtors also argue that such an interpretation of the stipulation and pretrial order would constitute an modification of the plan, and that the Court's interpretation of the stipulation constitutes a sua sponte modification of the plan. But an agreement that a claim shall be treated as fully secured is not at all inconsistent with, nor a modification of a plan provision that effectively provides that secured claims shall be determined in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 506(a).

Nor is it inconsistent with the March 11 Order, which was specifically intended to address the issue of whether certain default interest and late fees could be included in the amount of the secured claims.

Finally, Debtors argue that the Court's interpretation of the stipulation and pretrial

order effectively gives Mortgages, Ltd. the benefit of § 1111(b) but eliminates the plan's treatment for such § 1111(b) deficiency claims. To the contrary, however, it is the Debtors' interpretation of the stipulation that would have the effect of depriving Mortgages, Ltd. of its rights under § 1111(b). Apparently, it is the Debtors' contention that the intent of the stipulation was to treat the Mortgages, Ltd. claims as being fully secured only for purposes of determining an appropriate interest rate and feasibility of the plan, but preserving for some later time the Debtors' right to a valuation hearing and potential strip down pursuant to § 506(a). Such a procedure, however, had it been adopted, would have effectively deprived Mortgages, Ltd. of its § 1111(b) rights. A creditor who is treated as fully

1 secured has no § 1111(b) rights. Bankruptcy Rule 3014 requires the § 1111(b) election to be made 2 prior to the conclusion of the hearing on the disclosure statement, and yet under Debtors' 3 interpretation of the pretrial order Mortgages, Ltd. would not even know whether it had an § 1111(b) 4 election to make until some time long after the confirmation hearing. If that had actually been the 5 Debtors' understanding and intent, it would have to have provided some procedure for Mortgages, Ltd. to make its § 1111(b) election long after confirmation. It did not do so. 6 7 For the foregoing reasons, the Debtors' motion to amend findings of fact and 8 judgment is denied. 9 If is further ordered vacating the hearing on Debtor's motion previously scheduled on January 18, 2005, at 11:00 a.m. The hearing will proceed, however, as to the other matters set that 10 11 day. DATED AND SIGNED ABOVE 12 13 Copy of the foregoing faxed this 14 12th day of January, 2005, to: 15 Alan A. Meda, Esq. Stinson Morrison Hecker, L.L.P. 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 16 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4584 Attorneys for Reorganized Debtors 17 Fax: (602) 240-6925 18 Christopher R. Kaup, Esq. Tiffany & Bosco 19 2525 East Camelback Road, Third Ploon 2.0 Phoenix, AZ \$5016-4237 Special Counsel to Reorganized Debt Fax: (602) 255-0103 21 Robert J. Miller, Esq. 22 Edward M. Zachary, Esd. yan Cave LLP 2.3 Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406 2.4 Attorneys for Official Committee of Creditors Fax: (602) 364-7070 25 Michael W. Carmel, Esq. 26 80 East Columbus 2.7 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorney for James Sell, Receiver for ANMP 28 Fax: (602) 277-0144

1 David W. Dow, Esq. Mohr, Hackett, Pederson, Blakley & Rando 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 2 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1043 Attorney for ANMP Official Committee of Creditors 3 Fax: (602) 240-6600 4 Sean P. O'Brien, Esq. Gust Rosenfeld, PLC 5 201 East Washington, Suite 800 6 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorneys for Mortgages Ltd. 7 Fax: (602) 254-4878 8 Dillon E. Jackson, Esq. Foster Pepper & Shefelman, PLLC 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 9 Seattle, WA 98101-3299 Attorneys for Olympic Coast Investment, Inc. 10 Fax: (206) 749-1959 11 Vern Schweigert 12 Biltmore Associates 1121 East Missouri Avenue, Suite 100 13 Phoenix, AZ 85014 Chief Restructuring Officer of the 14 Reorganized Debtors Fax: (602) 604-2335 15 United States Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 20 16 Phoenix, AZ 85003 17 Fax: (602) 514-7270 18 /s/ Pat Denk Judicial Assistant 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28