1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jonathan M. Saffer (State Bar No. 022004) Theodore P. Witthoft (State Bar No. 02163) Daniel B. Bernardone (State Bar No. 0332) Alexander J. Relich (State Bar No. 038626) RUSING LOPEZ & LIZARDI, P.L.L.C 7047 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 400 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 Telephone: (480) 663-9800 Fax: (480) 863-1523 Email: jsaffer@rllaz.com Email: twitthoft@rllaz.com Email: dbernardone@rllaz.com Email: arelich@rllaz.com	(32) (56) (6)
9	Special Counsel for Plaintiffs and Trustee	es,
10	David Birdsell, Anthony Mason, Lothar Goernitz, and David Reaves	
11		
12	UNITED STATE	S BANKRUPTCY COURT
13	DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA
14	In re:	Chapter 7 Proceedings
15	[TITAN SOLAR POWER] PM & M	Case No. 2:24-bk-04978-MCW
16	ELECTRIC, INC.,	(Jointly Administered)
17	Debtor.	,
18		TRUSTEES' MOTION: (1) TO
19		APPROVE SETTLEMENT, PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE
20		9019; AND (2) FOR PAYMENT OF SPECIAL COUNSEL'S FEES AND
21		COSTS COUNSEL S FEES AND
22		
23	THIS FILING APPLIES TO:	
24	■All Debtors □Specified Debtors:	
25	Specifica Debiois.	
26		

The Chapter 7 Trustees, David A. Birdsell, Anthony Mason, David M. Reaves, and Lothar Goernitz (collectively, the "Trustees"), by and through their attorneys, hereby submit their Motion to Approve Settlement, Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule P. 9019 ("Motion"). This Motion is supported by the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Settlement Agreement and Release attached hereto as Exhibit A ("Settlement Agreement"), and the entire record before the Court, all of which are incorporated herein by this reference.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Background Facts

- 1. PM&M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar ("PM&M") and the various Titan State Affiliates (the TSA, and together with PM&M, "Debtors") filed their voluntary Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition on June 20, 2024 ("Petition Date").
- 2. Mr. Birdsell, Mr. Mason, Mr. Reaves, and Mr. Goernitz were appointed the Chapter 7 Trustees of the Debtor's bankruptcy cases.¹
- 3. Prior to the Petition Date, the Trustees allege the Debtors' Directors and Officers, David Williamson, Kenneth Williams, Kyle Beddome, Heather Williamson, and Eric Jung (collectively the "D&O Defendants"), committed serious breaches of fiduciary duty to Debtors,

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

herein collectively as the "Estates".

¹ David Birdsell is the Chapter 7 Trustee for PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power MS, Inc., Titan Solar Power NC, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power OH, Inc, Titan Solar Power TN, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc. Anthony Mason is the Chapter 7 Trustee for Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power NJ, Inc., and Titan Solar Power SC, Inc. David Reaves is the Chapter 7 Trustee for Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar VA, Inc, and Titan Electrical Services, Inc. Lothar Goernitz is the Chapter 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc. Theses bankruptcy estates are referred to

and with MINCK, LLC ("MINCK" and together with D&O Defendants, "<u>Defendants</u>") received numerous avoidable transfers within two years prior to the Petition Date.

- 4. Prior to the Petition Date, the Trustee alleges the D&O Defendant breached their fiduciary duty by, among other things, failing to impose proper systems to manage Debtors operations, resulting in millions in "defunds" from lending partner GoodLeap, LLC, failed to enforce sales and marketing group insurance requirements, failed to comply with industry regulations across multiple jurisdictions, failed to police fellow director and officer conduct which created liability for Debtors, failed to timely review vital reports on Debtors' severe financial and internal reporting problems, and failed to ensure proper and timely installation of solar systems to consumers which generated overwhelming legal and regulatory liability.
- 5. D&O Defendants dispute their liability for the D&O Claims and/or assert D&O Defendants have valid defenses to the Trustees' D&O claims and assertions.
- 6. Further, prior to the Petition Date, the Trustee alleges the Debtors transferred in aggregate of \$97,473,686.72 to Defendants or their beneficially controlled entities, including over \$31 Million in dividends to Defendants Kyle Beddome and David Williams.
- 7. The Trustee alleges the Transfers were made from property in which Debtor had an interest.
- 8. The Trustee alleges certain Transfers were made within ninety (90) days prior to the Petition Date.
- 9. The Trustee alleges certain other Transfers were made within two years days prior to the Petition Date.
- 10. The Trustee alleges certain other Transfers were made within four years days prior to the Petition Date.

- 11. The Trustees allege the Transfers were made while the Debtor was insolvent.
- 12. The Trustees allege that the Transfers left the Debtor with unreasonably small capital to continue its operations.
- 13. The Trustees allege the Transfers are avoidable, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 547-548.
- 14. Defendants dispute the Transfers are avoidable by the Trustees and/or assert Defendants have valid defenses to the Trustees' claims and assertions.
- 15. On March 26, 2025, the Trustees filed a Complaint against Defendants asserting claims for avoidance of fraudulent transfers, avoidance of preferential transfers, recovery of property for the Estates, turnover and accounting, objections to proofs of claim (the "<u>Transfer Claims</u>"), as well as breach of fiduciary duty, and unjust enrichment ("<u>D&O Claims</u>"). This complaint initiated an adversary proceeding under case number 2:25-ap-00115-MCW (the "<u>Adversary</u>").
- 16. On April 14, 2025, Defendants, through counsel, filed a Stipulation to Extend their deadline to respond to the Complaint. Subsequently, on June 18, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a Joint Motion requesting the Court assign the matter to mediation under the ADR program, and on June 25, 2025, the Court entered an Order granting the Joint Motion and referring the matter to mediation.
- 17. On July 8, 2025, the Court held a Pre-Mediation Status Hearing, and mediation was scheduled for September 22–23, 2025.
- 18. On September 22–23, 2025, mediation was conducted before the Honorable Daniel P. Collins. With the assistance of Judge Collins, the parties reached a settlement acceptable to all parties.

- 19. On October 1, 2025, the Court entered minutes reflecting the mediation held on September 22–23, 2025 and the agreement reached at that mediation.
- 20. The Trustees and Defendants have agreed to resolve the issues regarding the Transfers under the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT²

- 21. A fully executed copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, and contains the following terms and provisions.
- 22. **Settlement Amount.** Defendants shall pay \$5,000,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") to the Trustees within thirty days following entry of the Approval Order. In order to effectuate timely payment of the Settlement Amount, the Trustees shall provide adequate payment instructions to Defendants, including any W-9 form(s) as required, on or before the date of entry of the Approval Order.
- 23. **Method of Payment.** Payment shall be made in the form of check, or check(s), or wire transfer(s), in the total amount of \$5,000,000.00, payable to "David A. Birdsell, Trustee", which shall be deposited in a separate, segregated trust account of the PM&M Electric, Inc. DBA Titan Solar Bankruptcy Estate, which funds are to be held by Trustee Birdsell for the benefit of all Trustee's and their respective bankruptcy estates, pending further order of the Court. Of this amount, it is anticipated that \$250,000.00 will be released from escrow to Trustee Birdsell. It has been disclosed to the Trustees that Argonaut Insurance Company ("Argonaut") will pay \$2,750,000.00, Kyle Beddome will pay \$1,000,000.00, Heather Williamson will pay \$500,000.00 of the Settlement Amount. Nevertheless, no Party shall receive a release unless and until the total

² Defined terms in this section refer to those terms as defined in the Settlement Exhibit. *See generally* Exhibit A. If the terms set forth in this Motion differ from the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Agreement controls.

Settlement Amount is timely paid. If the full Settlement Amount is not received within thirty days of entry of the Approval Order ("Payment Default"), the Trustees shall promptly file a notice of Payment Default ("Default Notice") with the Bankruptcy Court and provide Defendants ten days to cure the Payment Default. If the Payment Default is not cured pursuant to the Default Notice, the Trustees, upon Bankruptcy Court approval and opportunity for objections, shall return any funds paid by each Defendant, minus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred due to the Payment Default, which fees and costs are subject to Bankruptcy Court approval and shall be assessed only against the Defendant(s) found responsible for the Payment Default.

- 24. **Special Counsel's Fees.** From the Settlement Amount, the Trustees shall be authorized to pay the firm of Rusing Lopez & Lizardi, PLLC ("Special Counsel"), as the Trustee's Special Counsel allowed fees in the collective sum of 40% of the Settlement Amount (i.e., \$2,000,000.00), plus Special Counsel's costs in the amount of \$11,983.26.³
- 25. Administrative Claims. Kyle Beddome, on behalf of certain of his entities (collectively the "Administrative Claimants") shall have allowed administrative claims in the aggregate amount of \$500,000.00, which Trustee Birdsell and Trustee Mason are authorized to pay from the appropriate Estate(s), within 30 days of receipt of the Settlement Amount. The Trustees shall work together in good faith to properly apportion the Administrative Claimants' claim(s) to the appropriate Estate(s).

26. Mutual Global Releases.

a. Upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount and except for the rights and obligations of the Parties arising out of this Agreement, the Trustees and

ase 2:24-bk-04978-MCW Doc 759 Filed 10/16/25 Entered 10/16/25 12:57:52 Main Document Page 6 of 46

³ Special Counsel's fees and costs are subject to septate approval from the Bankruptcy Court and the Settlement Agreement is not contingent upon approval of Special Counsel's fees and costs. Through this Motion, the Trustees separately request approval of Special Counsel fees of \$2,000,000.00 and costs of \$11,983.26.

Estates release, acquit, and forever discharge Defendants and the Non-Debtor Entities as well as their respective members, principals, agents, directors, owners, representatives, attorneys, Argonaut trustees, beneficiaries, successors, assigns and affiliates from all claims, counterclaims, actions, causes of action, suits, debts, obligations, demands, liabilities, injuries, charges, expenses and damages of any kind and nature (including attorneys' fees and costs), whether at law or in equity, whether based in tort, statute, contract, common law or other theory of recovery, whether known or unknown, asserted or unasserted, contingent or otherwise, and regardless of the person asserting, which in whole or in part arise out of or relate to any acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring on or prior to the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, including but not limited to, all claims, counterclaims, defenses or allegations which were raised or could have been raised between the Parties in the Adversary and/or the Trustees' Claims (the "Trustees' Released Claims"). Further, to the maximum extent permissible under law and effective upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount, the Trustees release Argonaut of any and all liability arising from the proofs of claim set forth in the Estates' claims register. This release shall not release insurance company Sompo International Holdings Ltd ("Sompo"), Endurance Assurance Corporation, or any subsidiaries or affiliates of the same.

b. Upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount and except for the rights and obligations of the Parties arising out of this Agreement, Defendants and the Non-Debtor Entities release, acquit, and forever discharge the Trustees and Estates as well as their respective members, principals, agents, directors, owners, representatives, attorneys, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, assigns and affiliates from all claims, counterclaims, actions, causes of action, suits,

debts, obligations, demands, liabilities, injuries, charges, expenses and damages of any kind and nature (including attorneys' fees and costs), whether at law or in equity, whether based in tort, statute, contract, common law or other theory of recovery, whether known or unknown, asserted or unasserted, contingent or otherwise, and regardless of the person asserting, which in whole or in part arise out of or relate to any acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring on or prior to the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, including but not limited to, all claims, counterclaims, defenses or allegations which were raised or could have been raised between the Parties in the Adversary and/or the Trustees' Claims (the "Defendants Released Claims"). For avoidance of doubt, Mr. Beddome's administrative claim set forth in Paragraph 5 herein is not released, and the Defendants and Non-Debtor Entities are not releasing claims between and among themselves.

- c. Each Party covenants and agrees that he/she/it will not bring any lawsuit, arbitration or other legal proceeding of any kind against any other Party relating to any of the Released Claims.
- d. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the mutual releases set forth in the Agreement are general releases relating to the Released Claims. The Parties expressly waive and assume the risk of any and all claims for damages or other relief which exist as of the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, but of which the Parties do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or otherwise, and which, if known, would materially affect a Party's decision to enter into this Agreement.

- e. The Parties voluntarily waive the benefits of any provisions of the law of Arizona or the laws of any other state, of the United States or of any other country, jurisdiction or government entity which provide that a general release does not extend to claims that the Party does not know of or expect to exist in the Party's favor at the time of executing the release, which, if known to the Party, may have materially affected the settlement. It is the intention of the Parties to forever discharge and release all known and unknown claims, whether legal, equitable or mixed, within the scope of the mutual releases set forth in this Paragraph 6. In furtherance of such intention, each Party acknowledges that he/she/it has been informed by his/her/its attorneys and advisors of, and that he/she/it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code which provides as follows: A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.
- f. For avoidance of doubt, the Parties waive and relinquish all rights and benefits conferred by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, by any laws of any state or territory of the United States, by any laws of the United States, and by any principle of common law that provides that a release does not extend to claims that a party does not know of or expect to exist in the party's favor at the time of executing the release.
- g. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the releases set forth in this Paragraph 6 do not apply to any action that a Party may bring for breach of or to enforce

the terms of this Agreement or to acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring after the date of payment of the Settlement Amount.

- 27. **Distributions.** The Settlement Amount shall be held in a separate, segregated PM&M Trust Account by Trustee Birdsell, pending further order from the Bankruptcy Court.
- 28. **Cooperation.** The Defendants agree to fully and reasonably cooperate with the Trustees so that the Trustees may fulfill their duties, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 704.
- 29. **Court Approval.** This Agreement is subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019. Within ten days of the execution of this Agreement by the Parties, the Trustees shall file a motion in the Bankruptcy Court (the "Settlement Motion") requesting entry of the Approval Order. The Parties agree to support the Settlement Motion and use their best efforts to obtain entry of the Approval Order. If the Settlement Motion is not approved, this Agreement shall be null and void, and the Parties shall be deemed to be in the same position as they were in prior to the mediation and settlement between and among them, as if this Agreement did not occur.
- 30. **No Assignment or Transfer of Claims.** Each Party represents and warrants that they are the rightful owner of, and have not encumbered, assigned, or transferred, nor will they in the future attempt to encumber, assign, or transfer, any claim for relief or cause of action described herein, except as expressly provided for in this Agreement.
- 31. **No Violation of By-laws, Covenants, or Restrictions.** Each Party warrants and represents that the Party's execution of this Agreement is not in violation of any by-law, covenants, and/or other restrictions placed upon them by their respective entities.
- 32. **Further Assurances.** The Parties shall perform such acts, execute and deliver such instruments and documents, and do all such other things as may be reasonably necessary to accomplish the agreements and releases contemplated in this Agreement.

1011

1213

1415

16

1718

19

2021

2223

2425

- 33. **Governing Law and Venue.** This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona (without regard to principles of conflict of laws) and federal bankruptcy law. Any action to enforce this Agreement must be brought in the Bankruptcy Court.
- 34. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement constitutes the final agreement of the Parties and is the complete and exclusive statement of their agreement on the matters contained herein. All prior and contemporaneous negotiations and agreements involving the Parties with respect to the matters contained herein are superseded upon entry of the Approval Order.
- 35. **Interpretation.** The headings in this Agreement are purely for convenience and are not to be used as an aid in interpreting its terms. The Parties agree that they participated equally in drafting and negotiating the terms of this Agreement and that this Agreement shall not be construed against any Party as the author or drafter of the Agreement.
- 36. **Modification.** No modification of this Agreement shall be binding unless in writing and signed by each of the Parties hereto and approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 37. **Enforcement.** Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as, or constitute, a release of any Party's right to enforce the terms of this Agreement.
- 38. **Successors and Assigns.** This Agreement is binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of, the respective successors and permitted assigns of each of the Parties.
- 39. **Counterparts and Copies.** This Agreement may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. Facsimile, electronic signatures, and signature pages sent by email shall be binding as though they are original signatures.

- 40. **Counsel.** Each of the Parties has had the opportunity to consult with counsel of their choosing and has done so, and this Agreement is made without coercion or duress or the promise of any consideration other than as specifically set forth herein.
- 41. **Severability.** In the event that any covenant, condition or other provision contained in this Agreement is held to be invalid, void or illegal by any court of competent jurisdiction, the same shall be deemed severable from the remainder of this Agreement and shall in no way affect, impair or invalidate any other covenant, condition or other provision contained herein, so long as such severance does not materially affect the consideration given or received herein or the general intent hereof. If such condition, covenant or other provision shall be deemed invalid due to its scope or breadth, such covenant, condition or other provision shall be deemed valid to the extent that the scope or breadth is permitted by law.
- 42. **Waiver.** No breach of any provision herein can be waived unless in writing. Waiver of any one breach of any provision hereof shall not be deemed to be waiver of any other breach of the same or the other provision hereof.
- 43. **Disputes Concerning the Agreement.** If any action is brought relating to this Agreement, the prevailing Party or Parties shall be entitled to receive from the non-prevailing Party or Parties all reasonable expenses, including but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, in addition to any other relief to which the successful Party may be entitled (excluding the Plaintiffs). Any costs and attorneys' fees shall be assessed by the Bankruptcy Court and not by a jury and shall be included in any judgment obtained by the prevailing Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, attorney fees and costs may not be assessed against the Plaintiffs or their respective Estates.
- 44. **Notices.** All notices, consents, waivers, and other communications required or permitted by this Agreement shall be in writing and will be deemed given to a Party when sent by email to the following email addresses ("<u>Notice</u>"). In addition, a hard copy of each Notice

1	shall be sent by United States mail in each case to the following address and marked to the
2	attention of the Person (by name or title) designated below, but the failure to mail such Notice
3	shall not affect the timing or validity of a Notice that has been properly emailed. Each Party
4	may change the email and postal address of that Party by Notice to each other Party pursuant to
5	this Section. Notice shall be sent to:
6	If to Defendants:
7	Daniel G. Dowd
8	J. Neil Stuart
9	COHEN DOWD QUIGLEY P.C. The Camelback Esplanade One
	2425 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
11	Email: ddowd@CDQLaw.com Email: nstuart@CDQLaw.com
12	
13	And
14	
15	Nicholas Bauman
	ANDERSON CLARKSON BROWN PLLC 11120 North Tatum Blvd., Suite, 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85028
16	Email: nbauman@acblawgroup.com
17	
18	If to the Trustees:
19	Jonathan M. Saffer
20	Theodore P. Witthoft
21	Daniel B. Bernardone Alexander J. Relich
	RUSING LOPEZ & LIZARDI, P.L.L.C.
22	7047 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 400
23	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
24	Email: <u>jsaffer@rllaz.com</u> Email: <u>twitthoft@rllaz.com</u>
25	Email: dbernardone@rllaz.com
2.5	Email: arelich@rllaz.com

24

25

26

If to Argonaut:

Milad Emam

BATESCAREY LLP

191 N. Wacker, Suite 2400

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Email: memam@batescarey.com

45. The Settlement Agreement and its terms are subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL COUNSELS' FEES

- 46. On July 23, 2024, the firm of Rusing Lopez & Lizardi, P.L.L.C. ("RLL" or "Special Counsel") was appointed by the Court as special counsel to Trustee David A. Birdsell. *See* ECF No. 30.
- 47. On March 25, 2025, RLL was appointed as special counsel for all Trustees. *See* ECF No. 561.
- 48. Special Counsel agreed to represent the Trustees on a contingency basis of forty percent (40%) of the gross amount of any recovery obtained, plus costs. *See* ECF No. 547 at 5. Per the Settlement Agreement, the Trustees claims were settled for the \$5,000,000.00 in Settlement Proceeds. Special Counsel's agreed contingency fee is \$2,000,000.00, plus its costs of \$11,983.26 ("Costs"). Special Counsel's Costs are detailed in **Exhibit B** attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference. The Trustees seek approval to pay Special Counsel its fees of \$2,000,000.00, plus its costs of \$11,983.26.
- 49. Pursuant to their appointment, Special Counsel began an investigation of potential Directors and Officers Claims ("<u>D&O Claims</u>") and fraudulent and preferential claims ("Transfer Claims") for Trustees to pursue.

- 50. In order to develop these potential claims, RLL attorneys took the actions set forth herein to identify claims, develop them into legally viable theories of recovery, review appropriate evidence, pursue third-party discovery, draft a complaint, and arrange for and successfully represent the Trustees at mediation which resulted in a favorable settlement for the Estates.
- 51. Special Counsel's role began immediately following their appointment as counsel for Trustee Birdsell. RLL attorneys met with Mr. Birdsell to discuss case issues, and receive an initial perspective on potential issues to investigate, and formulated a preliminary list of claims to examine.
- 52. RLL attorneys identified these potential claims, and began investigating insurance aspects to identify covered claims which would enable RLL to seek insurance proceeds for the Estates. This analysis was vital to ensure that Trustees' claims were not just legally sound, but recoverable and worth pursuing.
- 53. Upon identifying Argonaut as a relevant insurer of the D&O Defendants, RLL Attorneys began a dialogue with Argonaut, obtaining certified copies of relevant insurance policies, and conducting an analysis. RLL attorneys with insurance expertise were brought onto the special counsel team specifically for this purpose, in order to provide insight into coverage issues, claim structure, and analysis of the policy period to preserve Trustee claims.
- 54. Having received a basic framework of potential claims and insurance coverage, RLL next needed to locate relevant documents and information which would contain evidence to substantiate Special Counsel's list of potential claims.
- 55. RLL coordinated with Trustees' general counsel and former Debtor employees to locate such documents and information among the remaining assets and physical locations of the Estates.

56. These documents included the email accounts of the D&O Defendants (containing nearly 500,000 emails), hundreds of gigabytes of data from server racks, and other Electronically Stored Information ("ESI").

- 57. These initial documents also involved file cabinets worth of physical documents stored in former Debtor warehouses. Cataloging and collecting this material involved numerous trips from RLL attorneys to on-site facilities to evaluate these documents. Extensive legal research was also conducted to understand the full scope of the Trustees' requirements to keep and maintain these physical documents in order to preserve Trustee claims in litigation and avoid compromising the claims via a spoliation defense.
- 58. Special Counsel also had to navigate tremendous ESI issues in order to access relevant Debtor computer systems. Special Counsel worked with third-party technology consultants in order to obtain access to Titan Solar's Netsuite account, containing terabytes worth of transactional data vital to evaluating Transfer Claims and conducting a solvency analysis. Similar investigation was necessary to obtain other cloud accounts with financial data. Obtaining access to Netsuite and other cloud accounts and receiving usable information from them took months of weekly meetings between Special Counsel and technology vendors to obtain and preserve relevant evidence.
- 59. Having obtained and preserved evidence, Special Counsel then proceeded with necessary review to sift through the mountain of potentially relevant data into an actionable collection of evidence which supported the Trustees claims.
- 60. In or around October, 2024, having collected significant volume of D&O Defendants email communications and ESI, RLL attorneys began their review of documents to support the Trustees' potential claims.

- 61. Review proceeded in two equally important parallel routes. The first route was to evaluate textual evidence (email communications, notes, legal documents, etc.) to outline D&O Claims. The second route was the evaluation of financial information (bank statements, Netsuite data, cloud accounts, and financial records) to evaluate Transfer Claims. These issues often overlapped one another and required cross analysis to support a thorough evaluation of potential D&O and Transfer Claims.
- 62. Given the breadth of potential claims which the Trustees were considering at the time, this parallel analysis, and development of mastery of the evidence in both type of claims, spending significant time reviewing evidence was vital to properly explore Trustees' potential claims and maximize recovery of the Estates.
- 63. RLL performed this analysis and evaluation of the available evidence via a variety of methods, including keyword searches, syntax searches, and manual review of hard copy documents. Document review for this matter was unusually labor intensive given the scale of files which RLL attorneys had to evaluate, and the lack of coherent organization given the fact that such files were primarily procured from the Debtor's former properties in the chaotic aftermath of the bankruptcy and associated liquidations.
- 64. Following this initial wave of investigations into the evidence which was immediately available from the Estates' sources physically and electronically, it became clear that the Debtor entities were involved in a complex web of financial transactions both amongst themselves, with the Defendants, and with third-party non-debtor entities controlled by the Defendants. This increased the complexity of the financial analysis needed to bring Transfer Claims.
- 65. Initial document review from Estate sources also made clear the necessity of pursuing third-party discovery via Rule 2004 exams. These Rule 2004 exams were necessary to obtain financial records, especially bank statements, which were not maintained by the Debtors.

To facilitate this discovery, Special Counsel pursued 2004 exams against Chase Bank, GoodLeap, LLC, and Clifton Larson Allen, among others. The 2004 exams in total generated tens-of-thousands of pages of additional documentation which required review.

- 66. All this document review revealed multiple correspondence, and the facts as set forth in the complaint (Adv. ECF No. 1) which laid out breaches of fiduciary duty supporting the D&O Claims. Such document review also laid a credible foundation to support the Transfer Claims, and established a framework for a forensic accountant to perform a more detailed evaluation.
- 67. Having identified evidence of breaches by the D&O Defendants, and having identified salient coverage under the Argonaut policy, Special Counsel proceeded to analyze relevant law to determine Trustees' noticing obligations to Argonaut and Defendants, and then drafted policy limits demands to the Defendants and Argonaut. This involved research on relevant insurance law, as well as significant drafting to produce thorough letters to fully inform Defendants and Argonaut as to the status of the investigation and the available evidence already arrayed against them. Ultimately, Special Counsel would draft nearly a dozen demand and notice of claim letters in the months preceding, and immediately following, the adversary complaint.
- 68. Following this exhaustive document review and research into available and supportable claims Special counsel had obtained a list of crucial documents supporting a number of theories, and began drafting a complaint. The complaint, with ten separate causes of action, totaled 52 pages and was supported by 10 exhibits of financial data. The complaint took significant effort on the part of special counsel to produce, given the multiple defendants, multiple theories of recovery, and the significant factual timeline Special Counsel needed to recount for the Court, stretching from the beginning of Titan Solar's expansion in 2017 through its ultimate demise in 2024.

- 69. Special Counsel filed the complaint on March 26, 2025. See Adv. ECF No. 1. Immediately following the filing, Special Counsel began negotiations with the Defendants to seek a mediation. Special Counsel took this course of action, with the Trustees' consent, based on their analysis of the Argonaut policy and its depleting limits nature. Special Counsel performed this analysis to maximize the recovery for the Estates.
- 70. Defendants agreed to mediate, and following mediation being set, Special Counsel engaged in continued document review and analysis to strengthen claims and shore up each allegation in the complaint to present at mediation.
- 71. Additional documents reviewed included a substantial volume of documents turned over to Special Counsel by Defendant Kyle Beddome in response to the Transfer Claims, reports and financial statements analyzed by Special Counsels forensic accountants, and further information obtained from Rule 2004 exams.
- 72. Following the agreement to mediate and protocols set by Judge Daniel P. Collins, Special Counsel went to work to draft two more extensive presentations of Trustees' case to date. The first was a public mediation brief, again nearly 50 pages and supported by 52 exhibits. This mediation brief contained the most critical documents retrieved and provided a compelling factual narrative for the mediator to press Defendants, and their insurers, to settle Trustees claims on terms favorable to the Estates. Special Counsel also prepared an equally long confidential brief analyzing strengths and weaknesses of the Trustees case and other confidential matters. While all of this was ongoing, document review and negotiation with Defendants and Argonaut continued.
- 73. Following production of the mediation briefing, Special Counsel provided analysis to the Trustees of the Defendants' mediation briefing, and then successfully negotiated a comprehensive settlement and mutual release following a two-day mediation before Judge Collins.

74. On this record, Special Counsel requests the Court grant the requested fees and costs.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

- 75. Rule 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure provides that, after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. In evaluating a compromise or settlement, the Ninth Circuit requires that this Court consider the following: (a) the probability of success in the pending litigation; (b) difficulties of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation; (d) the expense, inconvenience and delay of the litigation; and (e) the best interests of creditors. In re Woodson, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988). See also In re Schmitt, 215 B.R. 417, 420 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1997) (the bankruptcy court's decision to approve a settlement should not be overturned as an abuse of discretion unless it leads to a result that is "neither in the best interests of the estate nor fair and equitable for the creditors."); and In re America West Airlines, Inc., 214 B.R. 382, 386 (Bankr.D.Ariz. 1997) ("the law favors compromise"). The Trustees, as the party proposing the compromise, have the burden of persuading the bankruptcy court that the compromise is fair and equitable and should be approved. *Martin v. Kane (In re A & C Props.)*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986) *citing In re Hallet*, 33 B.R. 564, 565-66 (Bankr.D.Me.1983).
- Adversary proceeding, the first category being for various breaches of fiduciary duty, the D&O Claims, and the second for fraudulent and preferential transfer claims against all Defendants, the Transfer Claims. Based on the evidence currently available to the Trustees, should the case go to trial, the Trustees are confident that they would prevail against the D&O Defendants on the D&O Claims. As set forth in their Complaint, Trustees have a wide variety of evidence demonstrating liability from the D&O Defendants, including admissions in meeting minutes of breaches of fiduciary duty, email correspondence reflecting self-dealing, and internal Debtor

reports detailing misconduct, among other corroborating evidence and emails too voluminous to enumerate. See Adv. ECF No. 1. The evidence the Trustees have obtained seems to favor the Trustees. However, there is no certainty that the Trustees would ultimately prevail, and the Defendants have raised defenses that indicate that their actions were based upon professional advice that was valid based upon the information available at the time of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duties.

- 77. Trustees' claim regarding the Transfer Claims, however, is less certain. Trustees have begun the process of assembling and analyzing Debtors' financial records. However, simply assembling all of their records is a substantial, time consuming, and expensive task. The same is true of pursuing expert financial analysis of those same documents. Preliminary analysis confirms the Trustee's theory of the case on the transfer claims, but indicates the transfer claims may be worth less than what was initially pursued. Additionally, for each individual transaction Defendants may assert numerous defenses which can slow or make more uncertain the pursuit of these claims, even if such defenses are found to be without merit. Trustees have also examined a theory that certain transfers left Debtors with unreasonably small capital under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B)(ii)(II), but this presents its own issue of proving but-for causation for a transaction, which creates uncertainty of success on this theory of recovery. Overall, the factor of success on the merits favors the present Settlement Agreement.
- 78. <u>Difficulty of collection</u>. On the D&O Claims, collection is complicated by the nature of the insurance policy at issue. Trustees pursued these claims under the "Side A" coverage of a Directors and Officers Liability insurance policy, issued by Argonaut Insurance Company (the "Policy"). Unfortunately, this Policy is what is often called a depleting limits policy—meaning that the costs to defend the insured (the D&O Defendants) of the Policy also applies against the limits to pay a claim. The result of this is that the longer the Trustees litigate the D&O Claims, the smaller the limits to pay out to the Trustees in a potential judgment.

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

22

Given that the Policy's limit is only \$3,000,000.00 (with \$250,000.00 already carved out to pay Defendants defense costs to date) Trustees moving forward to win at trial would be a pyrrhic victory at best, as this would almost surely extinguish all Policy proceeds through defense costs by the time a final judgment is entered in Trustees favor for the D&O Claims.

- 79. Likewise, collectability is an issue on the Transfer Claims as well. Defendants operated Debtors is a complex web of entities, including a wide variety of non-debtor entities. Collection would likely entail a motion for substantive consolidation (all but certain to be opposed by Defendants), and lengthy litigation to prove each transfer. Such exhaustive litigation runs the risk of reducing the assets to be collected from Defendants. Trustees are reasonably confident in some Defendants' ability to pay back transfers, but absent a detailed investigation into assets post judgment, this is speculative. Thus, collectability favors the current settlement agreement as it guarantees a substantial payment by Defendants.
- 80. Complexity of the litigation. This factor also leans heavily in favor of the present Settlement Agreement. Litigation of the D&O Claims is expected to involve complex issues addressing the legal nature of the fiduciary duties owed by each Defendant. This is complicated by the fact that each D&O Defendant wore multiple "hats" at different times (officer, versus director, versus shareholder), creating a kaleidoscope of duties and respective breaches. This complicates litigating the D&O Claims as for each breach, Trustees must tie the breaching conduct to the specific duties each D&O Defendant owed by virtue of their position at that specific moment. This is a legally complex set of obligations which the Trustees must navigate to succeed on each claim against each D&O Defendant.
- 81. The same complexity confounds an easy solution to the Transfer Claims. The Trustees have identified numerous transactions to pursue. However, each transaction faces multiple potential defenses. Navigating and defeating each defense for each transfer is an extremely involved process. Thus, complexity favors the present Settlement Agreement.

- 82. Expense, inconvenience and delay of the litigation. This factor also favors the current Settlement Agreement before the Trustees. As discussed above, this litigation is both legally and factually complex. To properly pursue the Trustees' claims through to a successful trial verdict, the Trustees must employ a team of multiple attorneys to litigate, along with financial experts to wind their way through the voluminous records of debtors. The necessity of financial experts substantially increases the cost of litigation. Current expert fees through mediation have already reached \$131,449.00. Should the matter proceed to trial this amount will increase several times the current amount owed. Furthermore, the Argonaut policy would almost certainly be exhausted by the time a trial was concluded.
- 83. The longer the Trustees litigate, the longer the D&O Defendants have to expend policy proceeds for the benefit of their defense. As the Policy's proceeds are a primary means of recovery in the adversary. The expense and delay of litigating is counterproductive to the Trustees' goal of maximizing recovery for creditors. Trustees have already encountered the substantial expense of experts and a full litigation team to pursue such a complex claim. D&O Defendants will be facing similar substantial expenses while burning through the very Policy proceeds which Trustees hope to collect for the benefit of creditors. Therefore, expense and delay significantly favor the Court approving the Settlement Agreement before such costs deplete the Policy proceeds, especially as the present Agreement has secured Policy limits from Argonaut.
- 84. <u>Best interest of creditors</u>. As set forth above, the Trustees face extensive factual inquiry and complex litigation to see this case through to a successful trial outcome. While the Trustees are confident that such an outcome is possible and indeed eventually likely, it is in the best interest of creditors to settle this matter so that the Policy limits can be paid out as payment from for the D&O Claims. While litigation through trial might, theoretically, lead to a larger judgment on paper, without Policy proceeds the ability to collect a larger judgment than the

amount offered through the Settlement Agreement is purely speculative and creates a risk creditors will receive nothing. The Settlement Agreement allows cash to flow into the Estates and to reach creditors who have been harmed by Debtors' bankruptcies. Therefore, this factor also strongly argues in favor of approval of the Settlement Agreement.

85. For all these reasons, the Trustees believe the Settlement Agreement meets the *Woodson* factors and approval of the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the Estates.

WHEREFORE, the Trustees respectfully request the Court approve this Motion and approve the Settlement Agreement for the reasons set forth herein. Additionally, the Trustees respectfully request the Court approve Special Counsel's fees in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 and costs in the amount of \$11,983.26.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of October, 2025.

RUSING LOPEZ & LIZARDI, P.L.L.C.

/s/ Theodore P. Witthoft

Jonathan M. Saffer
Theodore P. Witthoft
Daniel B. Bernardone
Alexander J. Relich
Special Counsel for Chapter 7 Trustees
David Birdsell, Anthony Mason, David Reaves,
and Lothar Goernitz

1	COPIES of the foregoing were served via the Court's CM/ECF Notification System on all parties that requested notice in this case with additional COPIES *e-mailed or mailed by U.S. Mail to
2	the following parties as indicated below:
3	BY EMAIL:
4	*Office of the U.S. Trustee
5	230 North First Avenue
6	Phoenix, AZ 85003 Larry.Watson@usdoj.gov
7	ustpregion14.px.ecf@usdoj.gov
8	*Molly J. Kjartanson
9	Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
10	1 East Washington Suite 2700 Phoenix, AZ 85004
11	mkjartanson@swlaw.com
12	Attorney for Debtors
13	BY U.S. MAIL:
14	PM & M Electric, Inc. dba Titan Solar Power
15	Titan Solar Debtors 2222 E. Yeager Drive, Suite 100
16	Chandler, AZ 85286
17	Office of the U.S. Trustee
18	230 North First Avenue
19	Phoenix, AZ 85003
20	/s/ Rosalin Sanhadja
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1

Exhibit A

Case 2:24-bk-04978-MCW Doc 759 Filed 10/16/25 Entered 10/16/25 12:57:52 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 46

SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT

THIS SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into effective as of October 15, 2025 (the "Effective Date") by the plaintiffs and Chapter 7 trustees, David A. Birdsell ("Trustee Birdsell"), Anthony Mason ("Trustee Mason"), Lothar Goernitz¹, and David Reaves (collectively, the "Plaintiffs" or "Trustees")², on the one hand, and Kenneth Williams, Heather Williamson, Eric Jung, Kara Jung, Kyle Beddome, Casie Beddome, David Williamson, MINCK, LLC, (collectively, the "Defendants") and the "Non-Debtor Entities" on the other hand. The Trustees, Defendants, and the Non-Debtor Entities each may be referred to herein as a "Party" and together as the "Parties".

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint (the "Complaint") in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona ("Bankruptcy Court") against the Defendants initiating Adversary No. 2:25-ap-00115-MCW (the "Adversary"), wherein the Trustees, on behalf of their respective Estates, asserted various claims and causes of action against the Defendants ("Trustees' Claims").

Lothar Goernitz is the Chapter 7 Trustee for the estate of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc. ("Titan NV"). The Titan NV Estate is not jointly administered under the PM & M Electric, Inc. matter, therefore Trustee Goernitz was not a plaintiff in the Adversary. Nonetheless, Trustee Goernitz is a settling party hereunder given the Titan NV interest in the Adversary.

² David Birdsell is the Chapter 7 Trustee for PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power MS, Inc., Titan Solar Power NC, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power OH, Inc, Titan Solar Power TN, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc. Anthony Mason is the Chapter 7 Trustee for Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power TY, Inc., and Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar VA, Inc, and Titan Electrical Services, Inc. Lothar Goernitz is the Chapter 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc. Theses bankruptcy estates are referred to herein collectively as the "Estates".

³ The term "Non-Debtor Entities" refers collectively to Armstrong 901, LLC, Baseline 525, LLC, Baur 10452, LLC, Birtcher 3583, LLC, Carrier 1201 LLC, Constellation 28318, LLC, Coosaw 5081, LLC, Dellwood- 4737, LLC, Dillard 219, LLC, Dividend 4223, LLC, GilVista 85298, LLC, Greenfield 5, LLC, Kendall Holdings Inc., Kinetic Solutions LLC, Kyro Foundation, Jasmine 1200, LLC, Judah Ventures, LLC, Loganville 2255, LLC, Market 3000, LLC, McQueen 160, LLC, Nickel Capital, LLC, Oakland 5001, LLC, Opportunity 8124, LLC, Post 3570, LLC, River 3130, LLC, Shelby 3325, LLC, Southern 3315, LLC, Sunway 210, LLC, Switzer 8140, LLC, Taylor 12511, LLC, Titus 317, LLC, Virginabeach 3719, LLC, Williams field 3263, LLC, Yuma 4450, LLC, Zion Properties Inc., Zion 970, LLC, WSB Electric Inc., Bedson 158, LLC, Bedson 4060, LLC, Dillard 170, LLC, Jeremiah 923, LLC, Pavus Consulting, LLC, and TBWW, LLC.

WHEREAS, the Defendants deny any liability whatsoever under the Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Trustees' Claims;

WHEREAS, to avoid the continued costs, expenses and risks associated with litigation over the issues set forth in the Complaint, the Parties desire to fully and finally resolve any and all claims by and between the Parties, including, but not limited to, the Trustees' Claims;

WHEREAS, the Parties participated in a two-day Mediation on September 22-23, 2025 with the Honorable Daniel Collins serving as Mediator. At the Mediation, the Parties reached an agreement, which was placed on the record and confirmed by all Parties and their counsel before Judge Collins (the "Mediation Agreement"). The Mediation Agreement contemplates the completion of formal settlement documentation. This Agreement is intended to be, and is, the formal settlement documentation contemplated by the Mediation Agreement. As such, this Agreement is intended to be, and is, a mutual, complete and final compromise between the Parties and a complete and final resolution and settlement of all matters between and among the Parties. Upon entry of a final, non-appealable order from the Bankruptcy Court, approving this Agreement and no stay is in effect (the "Approval Order"), this Agreement supersedes the Mediation Agreement in its entirety.

NOW, THEREFORE, subject only to approval of this Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019, and in consideration of the mutual promises contained in this Agreement, and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

- 1. Recitals. The Parties confirm the accuracy of the Recitals above, all of which are incorporated herein as part of the Agreement of the Parties.
- 2. Settlement Amount. Defendants shall pay \$5,000,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") to the Trustees within thirty days following entry of the Approval Order. In order to effectuate timely payment of the Settlement Amount, the Trustees shall provide adequate payment instructions to Defendants, including any W-9 form(s) as required, on or before the date of entry of the Approval Order.

- 3. Method of Payment. Payment shall be made in the form of check, or check(s), or wire transfer(s), in the total amount of \$5,000,000.00, payable to "David A. Birdsell, Trustee", which shall be deposited in a separate, segregated trust account of the PM&M Electric, Inc. DBA Titan Solar Bankruptcy Estate, which funds are to be held by Trustee Birdsell for the benefit of all Trustee's and their respective bankruptcy estates, pending further order of the Court. Of this amount, it is anticipated that \$250,000.00 will be released from escrow to Trustee Birdsell. It has been disclosed to the Trustees that Argonaut Insurance Company ("Argonaut") will pay \$2,750,000.00, Kyle Beddome and/or MINCK, LLC will pay \$1,000,000.00, Heather Williamson will pay \$500,000.00, and David Williamson will pay \$500,000.00 of the Settlement Amount. Nevertheless, no Party shall receive a release unless and until the total Settlement Amount is timely paid. If the full Settlement Amount is not received within thirty days of entry of the Approval Order ("Payment **Default**"), the Trustees shall promptly file a notice of Payment Default ("**Default**") Notice") with the Bankruptcy Court and provide Defendants ten days to cure the Payment Default. If the Payment Default is not cured pursuant to the Default Notice, the Trustees, upon Bankruptcy Court approval and opportunity for objections, shall return any funds paid by each Defendant, minus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred due to the Payment Default, which fees and costs are subject to Bankruptcy Court approval and shall be assessed only against the Defendant(s) found responsible for the Payment Default.
- 4. Special Counsel's Fees. From the Settlement Amount, the Trustees shall be authorized to pay the firm of Rusing Lopez & Lizardi, PLLC ("Special Counsel"), as the Trustee's Special Counsel allowed fees in the collective sum of 40% of the Settlement Amount (i.e., \$2,000,000.00), plus Special Counsel's costs in the amount of \$11,983.26, subject to Bankruptcy Court approval.
- 5. Administrative Claims. Kyle Beddome, on behalf of certain of his entities (collectively the "Administrative Claimants") shall have allowed administrative claims in the aggregate amount of \$500,000.00, which Trustee Birdsell and Trustee Mason are authorized to pay from the appropriate Estate(s), within 30 days of receipt of the Settlement Amount. The Trustees shall work together in good faith to properly apportion the Administrative Claimants' claim(s) to the appropriate Estate(s).
 - 6. Mutual Global Releases.

- a. Upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount and except for the rights and obligations of the Parties arising out of this Agreement, the Trustees and Estates release, acquit, and forever discharge Defendants and the Non-Debtor Entities as well as their respective members, principals, agents, directors, owners, representatives, attorneys, Argonaut, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, assigns and affiliates from all claims, counterclaims, actions, causes of action, suits, debts, obligations, demands, liabilities, injuries, charges, expenses and damages of any kind and nature (including attorneys' fees and costs), whether at law or in equity, whether based in tort, statute, contract, common law or other theory of recovery, whether known or unknown, asserted or unasserted, contingent or otherwise, and regardless of the person asserting, which in whole or in part arise out of or relate to any acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring on or prior to the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, including but not limited to, all claims, counterclaims, defenses or allegations which were raised or could have been raised between the Parties in the Adversary and/or the Trustees' Claims (the "Trustees' Released Claims"). Further, to the maximum extent permissible under law and effective upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount, the Trustees release Argonaut of any and all liability arising from the proofs of claim set forth in the Estates' claims register. This release shall not release insurance company Sompo International Holdings Ltd ("Sompo"), Endurance Assurance Corporation, or any subsidiaries or affiliates of the same.
- b. Upon timely receipt of the Settlement Amount and except for the rights and obligations of the Parties arising out of this Agreement, Defendants and the Non-Debtor Entities release, acquit, and forever discharge the Trustees and Estates as well as their respective members, principals, agents, directors, owners, representatives, attorneys, trustees, beneficiaries, successors, assigns and affiliates from all claims, counterclaims, actions, causes of action, suits, debts, obligations, demands, liabilities, injuries, charges, expenses and damages of any kind and nature (including attorneys' fees and costs), whether at law or in equity, whether based in tort, statute, contract, common law or other theory of recovery, whether known or unknown, asserted or unasserted, contingent or otherwise, and regardless of the person asserting, which in whole or in part arise out of or relate to any acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring on or prior to the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, including but not limited to, all claims, counterclaims, defenses

or allegations which were raised or could have been raised between the Parties in the Adversary and/or the Trustees' Claims (the "Defendants Released Claims" and together with the Trustees Released Claims, the "Released Claims"). For avoidance of doubt, Mr. Beddome's administrative claim set forth in Paragraph 5 herein is not released, and the Defendants and Non-Debtor Entities are not releasing claims between and among themselves.

- c. Each Party covenants and agrees that he/she/it will not bring any lawsuit, arbitration or other legal proceeding of any kind against any other Party relating to any of the Released Claims.
- d. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the mutual releases set forth in the Agreement are general releases relating to the Released Claims. The Parties expressly waive and assume the risk of any and all claims for damages or other relief which exist as of the date of payment of the Settlement Amount, but of which the Parties do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or otherwise, and which, if known, would materially affect a Party's decision to enter into this Agreement.
- e. The Parties voluntarily waive the benefits of any provisions of the law of Arizona or the laws of any other state, of the United States or of any other country, jurisdiction or government entity which provide that a general release does not extend to claims that the Party does not know of or expect to exist in the Party's favor at the time of executing the release, which, if known to the Party, may have materially affected the settlement. It is the intention of the Parties to forever discharge and release all known and unknown claims, whether legal, equitable or mixed, within the scope of the mutual releases set forth in this Paragraph 6. In furtherance of such intention, each Party acknowledges that he/she/it has been informed by his/her/its attorneys and advisors of, and that he/she/it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

For avoidance of doubt, the Parties waive and relinquish all rights and benefits conferred by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, by any laws of any state or territory of the United States, by any laws of the United States, and by any principle of common law that provides that a release does not extend to claims that a party does not know of or expect to exist in the party's favor at the time of executing the release.

- f. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the releases set forth in this Paragraph 6 do not apply to any action that a Party may bring for breach of or to enforce the terms of this Agreement or to acts, events, omissions or transactions occurring after the date of payment of the Settlement Amount.
- 7. **Distributions.** The Settlement Amount shall be held in a separate, segregated PM&M Trust Account by Trustee Birdsell, pending further order from the Bankruptcy Court.
- 8. Cooperation. The Defendants agree to fully and reasonably cooperate with the Trustees so that the Trustees may fulfill their duties, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 704.
- 9. Court Approval. This Agreement is subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019. Within ten days of the execution of this Agreement by the Parties, the Trustees shall file a motion in the Bankruptcy Court (the "Settlement Motion") requesting entry of the Approval Order. The Parties agree to support the Settlement Motion and use their best efforts to obtain entry of the Approval Order. If the Settlement Motion is not approved, this Agreement shall be null and void, and the Parties shall be deemed to be in the same position as they were in prior to the mediation and settlement between and among them, as if this Agreement did not occur.
- 10. No Assignment or Transfer of Claims. Each Party represents and warrants that they are the rightful owner of, and have not encumbered, assigned, or transferred, nor will they in the future attempt to encumber, assign, or transfer, any claim for relief or cause of action described herein, except as expressly provided for in this Agreement.
- 11. No Violation of By-laws, Covenants, or Restrictions. Each Party warrants and represents that the Party's execution of this Agreement is not in violation of any by-law, covenants, and/or other restrictions placed upon them by their respective entities.

- 12. Further Assurances. The Parties shall perform such acts, execute and deliver such instruments and documents, and do all such other things as may be reasonably necessary to accomplish the agreements and releases contemplated in this Agreement.
- 13. Governing Law and Venue. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona (without regard to principles of conflict of laws) and federal bankruptcy law. Any action to enforce this Agreement must be brought in the Bankruptcy Court.
- 14. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the final agreement of the Parties and is the complete and exclusive statement of their agreement on the matters contained herein. All prior and contemporaneous negotiations and agreements involving the Parties with respect to the matters contained herein are superseded upon entry of the Approval Order.
- 15. Interpretation. The headings in this Agreement are purely for convenience and are not to be used as an aid in interpreting its terms. The Parties agree that they participated equally in drafting and negotiating the terms of this Agreement and that this Agreement shall not be construed against any Party as the author or drafter of the Agreement.
- 16. Modification. No modification of this Agreement shall be binding unless in writing and signed by each of the Parties hereto and approved by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 17. Enforcement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as, or constitute, a release of any Party's right to enforce the terms of this Agreement.
- 18. Successors and Assigns. This Agreement is binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of, the respective successors and permitted assigns of each of the Parties.
- 19. Counterparts and Copies. This Agreement may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument. Facsimile, electronic signatures, and signature pages sent by email shall be binding as though they are original signatures.
- 20. Counsel. Each of the Parties has had the opportunity to consult with counsel of their choosing and has done so, and this Agreement is made without

coercion or duress or the promise of any consideration other than as specifically set forth herein.

- 21. Severability. In the event that any covenant, condition or other provision contained in this Agreement is held to be invalid, void or illegal by any court of competent jurisdiction, the same shall be deemed severable from the remainder of this Agreement and shall in no way affect, impair or invalidate any other covenant, condition or other provision contained herein, so long as such severance does not materially affect the consideration given or received herein or the general intent hereof. If such condition, covenant or other provision shall be deemed invalid due to its scope or breadth, such covenant, condition or other provision shall be deemed valid to the extent that the scope or breadth is permitted by law.
- **22.** Waiver. No breach of any provision herein can be waived unless in writing. Waiver of any one breach of any provision hereof shall not be deemed to be waiver of any other breach of the same or the other provision hereof.
- 23. Notices. All notices, consents, waivers, and other communications required or permitted by this Agreement shall be in writing and will be deemed given to a Party when sent by email to the following email addresses ("Notice"). In addition, a hard copy of each Notice shall be sent by United States mail in each case to the following address and marked to the attention of the Person (by name or title) designated below, but the failure to mail such Notice shall not affect the timing or validity of a Notice that has been properly emailed. Each Party may change the email and postal address of that Party by Notice to each other Party pursuant to this Section. Notice shall be sent to:

If to Defendants:

Daniel G. Dowd J. Neil Stuart

COHEN DOWD QUIGLEY P.C.

The Camelback Esplanade One 2425 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100

Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Email: ddowd@CDQLaw.com
Email: nstuart@CDQLaw.com

And

Nicholas Bauman

ANDERSON CLARKSON BROWN PLLC

11120 North Tatum Blvd., Suite, 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85028

Email: nbauman@acblawgroup.com

If to the Trustees:

Jonathan M. Saffer

Theodore P. Witthoft

Daniel B. Bernardone

Alexander J. Relich

RUSING LOPEZ & LIZARDI, P.L.L.C.

7047 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 400

Scottsdale, Arizona 85254

Email: jsaffer@rllaz.com Email: twitthoft@rllaz.com

Email: dbernardone@rllaz.com

Email: arelich@rllaz.com

EACH OF THE PARTIES CERTIFIES THAT HE/SHE/IT HAS READ ALL OF THIS AGREEMENT AND FULLY UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES TO EACH OF THE ABOVE TERMS, CONDITIONS, AND PROVISIONS.

[Signature Page Follows]

Vanda Bros David A. Birdsell, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee for the bankruptcy estates of PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power MS, Inc., Titan Solar Power NC, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Rower OH, Inc, Titan Solar Power TN, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc. frustee David Reaves, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar Electric Services, Inc., and Titan Solar VA, Inc. Anthony Mason, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power NJ, Inc., and Titan Solar Power SC, Inc. Lothar Goernitz, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc.

Page 10 of 12

MINCK, LLC, by its Authorized Representative Kyle Beddome

David A. Birdsell, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee for the bankruptcy estates of PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power MS, Inc., Titan Solar Power NC, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power OH, Inc., Titan Solar Power WI, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc.

David Reaves, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar Electric Services, Inc., and Titan Solar VA, Inc.

J. H. Mason

Anthony Mason, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power NJ, Inc., and Titan Solar Power SC, Inc.

Lothar Goernitz, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc.

MINCK, LLC, by its Authorized Representative Kyle Beddome

Page 10 of 12

David A. Birdsell, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee for the bankruptcy estates of PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power MS, Inc., Titan Solar Power NC, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power OH, Inc., Titan Solar Power TN, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc.

David Reaves, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar Electric Services, Inc., and Titan Solar VA, Inc.

Anthony Mason, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power NJ, Inc., and Titan Solar Power SC, Inc.

Lothar Goernitz, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc.

MINCK, LLC, by its Authorized Representative Kyle Beddome

David A. Birdsell, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee for the bankruptcy estates of PM & M Electric, Inc., DBA Titan Solar Power, Titan Solar Power AZ, Inc., Titan Solar Power FL, Inc., Titan Solar Power ID, Inc., Titan Solar Power IL, Inc., Titan Solar Power LA, Inc., Titan Solar Power MD, Inc., Titan Solar Power MO, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power NM, Inc., Titan Solar Power OH, Inc., Titan Solar Power TN, Inc., and Titan Solar Power WI, Inc.

David Reaves, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CO, Inc., Titan Solar Power TX, Inc., Titan Solar Power UT, Inc., Titan Solar Electric Services, Inc., and Titan Solar VA, Inc.

Anthony Mason, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power CA, Inc., Titan Solar Power GA, Inc., Titan Solar Power NJ, Inc., and Titan Solar Power SC, Inc.

Lothar Goernitz, in his capacity as Ch. 7 Trustee of Titan Solar Power NV, Inc.

- Com

MINCK, LLC, by its Authorized Representative Kyle Beddome

Kyle Beddome, in his personal capacity and as Authorized Representative of the following Non-Debtor Entities:

Armstrong901, LLC, Baseline525, LLC, Baur 10452, LLC, Bedson158, LLC, Bedson4060, LLC, Birtcher3583, LLC, Carrier 1201 LLC, Constellation28318, LLC, Coosaw5081, LLC, Dellwood4737, LLC, Dillard170, LLC, Dillard219, LLC, Dividend4223, LLC, GilVista85298, LLC, Greenfield5, LLC, Kendall Holdings Inc., Kinetic Solutions LLC, Kyro Foundation, Jasmine 1200, LLC, Judah Ventures, LLC, Loganville2255, LLC, Market 3000, LLC, McQueen160, LLC, Nickel Capital, LLC, Oakland5001, LLC, Opportunity8124, LLC, Post3570, LLC, River3130, LLC, Shelby3325, LLC, Southern3315, LLC, Sunway210, LLC, Switzer8140, LLC, Taylor12511, LLC, Titus 317, LLC, Virginabeach3719, LLC, Williamsfield3263, LLC, Yuma4450, LLC, Zion Properties Inc., and Zion 970, LLC.

Casie Beddome, in her personal capacity

David Williamson, in his personal capacity and as Authorized Representative of the following Non-Debtor Entities:

Jeremiah923, LLC, Pavus Consulting, LLC, and TBWW, LLC.

Heather Williamson, in her personal capacity



Kyle Beddome, in his personal capacity and as Authorized Representative of the following Non-Debtor Entities:

Armstrong901, LLC, Baseline525, LLC, Baur 10452, LLC, Bedson158, LLC, Bedson4060, LLC, Birtcher3583, LLC, Carrier 1201 LLC, Constellation28318, LLC, Coosaw5081, LLC, Dellwood4737, LLC, Dillard170, LLC, Dillard219, LLC, Dividend4223, LLC, GilVista85298, LLC, Greenfield5, LLC, Kendall Holdings Inc., Kinetic Solutions LLC, Kyro Foundation, Jasmine 1200, LLC, Judah Ventures, LLC, Loganville2255, LLC, Market 3000, LLC, McQueen160, LLC, Nickel Capital, LLC, Oakland5001, LLC, Opportunity8124, LLC, Post3570, LLC, River3130, LLC, Shelby3325, LLC, Southern3315, LLC, Sunway210, LLC, Switzer8140, LLC, Taylor12511, LLC, Titus 317, LLC, Virginabeach3719, LLC, Williamsfield3263, LLC, Yuma4450, LLC, Zion Properties Inc., and Zion 970, LLC.

Chi	h i
API n	all
(NU II	Robbu
0 0	Voe.

Casie Beddome, in her personal capacity

David Williamson, in his personal capacity and as Authorized Representative of the following Non-Debtor Entities:

Jeremiah923, LLC, Pavus Consulting, LLC, and TBWW, LLC.

Heather Williamson

Heather Williamson, in her personal capacity

Eric Jung	
Eric Jung, in his personal capacity	
Kara Jung	
Kara Jung, in her personal capacity	
KENNETH WIXXIAMS	

WSB Electric, Inc.

Kenneth Williams, in his personal capacity and as Authorized Representative of

Exhibit B

Cost Report **Billed and Unbilled**

Birdsell, David / Titan Solar (020517-018)

00125

Delaware Secretary of State, 10/24/2024, Corporate Filings

Other

Research

Other

Nextpoint, Inc., 1/1/2025, Invoice #INV-31296, For E-Discovery Data Usage - January 2025

Research, West Payment Center, 12/31/2024, Invoice #851273861, Westlaw - December 2024

Service of Process

Service of Process

Service of Process

Service of Process, Nationwide Legal Services, LLC, 1/9/2025, Invoice #AZ365997, Service Upon Eric Jung

10/24/2024

12/31/2024

01/01/2025

01/09/2025

01/09/2025

01/09/2025

Date	SM/Task	Service Code	Description	Attorney	Orig Qty	Orig Amt	Rev Qty	Rev Amt Vendor	Voucher	Check No.	Check Date	Check Status	Invoice	Status
07/31/2024 Research,	West Paym	00143 nent Center, 7/31/2	Research 2024, Invoice #850557031, Westlaw Research	0048 - July 2024	0.00	275.89	0.00	275.89 West Payment Center	62692	3560	08/13/2024	Cleared	0	Unbilled
08/31/2024 West Paym	nent Center	00143 , 8/31/2024, Invoi	Research ce #850680561, Westlaw Research - August 2	0048 024	0.00	91.57	0.00	91.57 West Payment Center	63040	33902	09/13/2024	Cleared	0	Unbilled
09/30/2024 Nextpoint,	Inc., 10/01/2	00125 2024, Invoice #IN	Other V-31293, For E-discovery Data Usage - Octob	0048 er 2024	0.00	300.00	0.00	300.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	63674	30	12/10/2024		0	Unbilled
10/18/2024 Postage		00209	Postage	0005	0.00	8.85	0.00	8.85	0				0	Unbilled
10/24/2024		00135	Filing Fee	0048	0.00	20.50	0.00	20.50 South Carolina Secretary of State	63717	36	12/10/2024		0	Unbilled

0.00

63718

64853

65188

65041

65042

65043

34107

34147

34148

34149

33

20.00 Delaware Secretary of

1,284.20 West Payment Center

500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.

75.00 Nationwide Legal

75.00 Nationwide Legal

75.00 Nationwide Legal

Services, LLC

Services, LLC

Services, LLC

State

11/20/2024

01/14/2025

03/21/2025

01/29/2025

01/29/2025

01/29/2025

Cleared

Cleared

Cleared

Cleared

20.00

1,284.20

500.00

75.00

75.00

75.00

0048 0.00 0.00 63719 11/20/2024 10/24/2024 00125 Other 5.00 5.00 Maricopa County 36 Unbilled Recorder Maricopa County Recorder, 10/24/2024, Invoice #1308259, Document Search 10/25/2024 00125 Other 0048 0.00 5.00 0.00 5.00 Texas Secretary of 63728 12/10/2024 0 Unbilled Texas Secretary of State, 10/25/2024, Document Request 10/31/2024 00143 0048 0.00 675.10 0.00 675.10 West Payment Center 63932 3765 11/13/2024 Cleared 0 Unbilled Research West Payment Center, 10/31/2024, Invoice #850978291, For West Research - October 2024 11/01/2024 00125 Other 0048 0.00 500.00 0.00 500.00 Nextpoint, Inc. 64140 31 01/23/2025 0 Unbilled Nextpoint, Inc., 11/1/2024, Invoice #INV-31294, For E-discovery Data Usage - November 2024 12/01/2024 00125 Other 0007 0.00 500.00 0.00 500.00 Nextpoint, Inc. 64813 32 02/24/2025 0 Unbilled Nextpoint, Inc., 12/1/2024, Invoice #INV-31295, For E-Discovery Data Usage - December 2024

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Service of Process, Nationwide Legal Services, LLC, 1/9/2025, Invoice #AZ365997SS, Service Upon Kenneth Williams

Service of Process, Nationwide Legal Services, LLC, 1/9/2025, Invoice #AZ365997S, Service Upon Kyle Beddome

Filing Fee, South Carolina Secretary of State, 10/24/2024, For Business Filing Confirmation - 5081 Coosaw Creek Blvd, LLC

0048

0048

0048

0048

0048

0048

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Doc 759 Filed 10/16/25 Entered 10/16/25 12:57:52 Case 2:24-bk-04978-MCW Main Document Page 44 of 46

09/23/2025

Unbilled

Unbilled

Unbilled

Unbilled

Unbilled

Unbilled

0

0

0

0

0

0

00143

00125

00147

00147

09/23/2025

Birdsell, David / Titan Solar (020517-018)

Date	SM/Task	Service Code	Description	Attorney	Orig Qty	Orig Amt	Rev Qty	Rev Amt Vendor	Voucher	Check No.	Check Date	Check Status	Invoice	Status
01/09/2025		00147	Service of Process	0048	0.00	75.00	0.00	75.00 Nationwide Legal Services, LLC	65044	34150	01/29/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
Service of F	Process, Na	ationwide Legal Se	ervices, LLC, 1/9/2025, Invoice #AZ365997SSS,	Service Up	on David William	son								
01/10/2025		00125	Other	0048	0.00	42.00	0.00	42.00 Nationwide Legal Services, LLC	65045	34151	01/29/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
Nationwide	Legal Serv	rices, LLC, 1/10/20	025, Invoice #AZ366400, Maricopa County Supe	rior Court D	ocument Retriev	al - Notice of	Withdrawal a	,						
01/22/2025		00147	Service of Process	0048	0.00	75.00	0.00	75.00 Nationwide Legal Services, LLC	65238	34194	02/13/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
Service of F	Process, Na	ationwide Legal Se	ervices, LLC, 1/22/2025, Invoice #AZ365997SS	SS, Skip Tra	ce on Heather W	'illiams								
01/31/2025 West Paym	nent Center,	00143 , Invoice #851414	Research 893, For WestLaw Legal Research - January 20	0048 25	0.00	831.70	0.00	831.70 West Payment Center	65234	34193	02/13/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
02/01/2025 Nextpoint, I	Inc., 2/1/202	00125 25, Invoice #INV-3	Other 31297, For E-Discovery Data Usage - February 2	0048 2025	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	65684	34	04/17/2025		0	Unbilled
02/28/2025 West Paym	nent Center,	00125 , 2/28/2025, Invoid	Other ce #851558342, For Westlaw Research - Februa	0048 ary 2025	0.00	173.01	0.00	173.01 West Payment Center	65620	34267	03/14/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
03/26/2025 Filing Fee,	Pay.gov.co	00135 m, 3/26/2025, Ariz	Filing Fee cona Bankruptcy Court Filing	0048	0.00	350.00	0.00	350.00 Pay.gov.com	65959	84	04/17/2025		0	Unbilled
03/28/2025 Postage		00209	Postage	0001	0.00	3.43	0.00	3.43	0				0	Unbilled
03/31/2025 Document	retrieval	00125	Other	0048	1.00	408.60	1.00	408.60	0				0	Unbilled
03/31/2025 Postage - 1	14 Service o	00209 of Complaint & Sui	Postage mmons Packages	0048	0.00	40.18	0.00	40.18	0				0	Unbilled
03/31/2025 West Paym	nent Center	00143 , 3/31/2025, Invoid	Research ce #851705045, Westlaw Research - March 202	0048 5	0.00	299.73	0.00	299.73 West Payment Center	66051	34362	04/09/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
03/31/2025		00147	Service of Process	0048	0.00	198.90	0.00	198.90 Nationwide Legal Services, LLC	66058	34369	04/09/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
Service of F	Process, Na	ationwide Legal Se	ervices, LLC, 3/31/2025, Invoice #AZ376241, Se	rvice Upon	David Williamsor	1								
03/31/2025 Nextpoint, I	Inc., 3/31/20	00125 025, Invoice #INV	Other 31298, For E-Discovery Data Usage - March 20	0048 025	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	66089	37	07/11/2025		0	Unbilled
04/01/2025 Nextpoint, I	Inc., 4/1/202	00125 25, Invoice #INV-3	Other 17634, For E-Discovery Data Usage - April 2025	0048	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	66691	35	05/20/2025		0	Unbilled
04/30/2025 West Paym	nent Center,	00143 , 4/30/2025, Invoid	Research ce #851853753, Westlaw Research - April 2025	0048	0.00	160.20	0.00	160.20 West Payment Center	66485	34449	05/14/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
05/01/2025 Nextpoint, I	Inc., 5/1/202	00125 25, Invoice #INV-3	Other 87635, For E-Discovery Data Usage - May 2025	0048	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	66694	36	06/24/2025		0	Unbilled
05/31/2025 West Paym	nent Center	00143 , 5/31/2025, Invoid	Research ce #851999616, West Law Research - May 2025	0048	0.00	298.25	0.00	298.25 West Payment Center	66878	34556	06/11/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled

Case 2:24-bk-04978-MCW Doc 759 Filed 10/16/25 Entered 10/16/25 12:57:52 Desc Main Document Page 45 of 46

Cost Report

Birdsell, David / Titan Solar (020517-018)

Report Totals:

Date	SM/Task Service Code	Description	Attorney	Orig Qty	Orig Amt	Rev Qty	Rev Amt Vendor	Voucher	Check No.	Check Date	Check Status	Invoice	Status
06/30/2025 Nextpoint, I	00125 Inc., 6/30/2025, Invoice #INV	Other -37636, For E-Discovery Data Usage - June 202	0048 5	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	67260	38	08/18/2025		0	Unbilled
06/30/2025 Research, '	00143 West Payment Center, 6/30/2	Research 2025, Invoice #852150108, Legal Research - Jui	0048 ne 2025	0.00	417.83	0.00	417.83 West Payment Center	67310	34644	07/08/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
07/30/2025 Nextpoint, I	00125 Inc., 7/30/2025, Invoice #INV	Other -37637, For E-Discovery Data Usage - July 2029	0048	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	67644	39	09/17/2025		0	Unbilled
07/31/2025 West Paym	00143 nent Center, 7/31/2025, Invoi	Research ce #852298463, Westlaw Research For July 202	0048 5	0.00	262.16	0.00	262.16 West Payment Center	67662	34698	08/13/2025	Cleared	0	Unbilled
08/01/2025 Nextpoint, I	00125 Inc., 8/1/2025, Invoice #INV-	Other 37638, For E-Discovery Data Usage - August 20	0048 25	0.00	500.00	0.00	500.00 Nextpoint, Inc.	67995	0			0	Unbilled
08/28/2025 Nationwide	00125 Legal Services, LLC, 8/28/2	Other 025, Invoice # AZ394689, Delivery From RL&L t	0048 Renee Br	0.00 vant - Courtroom	108.00 Deputy for Ju	0.00 udge Daniel C	108.00 Nationwide Legal Services, LLC collins Courtroom 603	68100	34785	09/10/2025		0	Unbilled
08/31/2025	00143	Research ce #852441072, Westlaw Research - August 20	0048	0.00	328.16	0.00	328.16 West Payment Center	68098	34783	09/10/2025		0	Unbilled

1.00

11,983.26

1.00

11,983.26

09/23/2025